arXiv:1601.07141v1 [math.ST] 26 Jan 2016

On the robustness to small trends of parameter estimation for continuous-time stationary models with memory

M. S. Ginovyan* and A. A. Sahakyan

Abstract

The paper deals with a question of robustness of inferences, carried out on a continuous-time stationary process contaminated by a small trend, to this departure from stationarity. We show that a smoothed periodogram approach to parameter estimation is highly robust to the presence of a small trend in the model. The obtained result is a continuous version of that of Hede and Dai (Journal of Time Series Analysis, 17, 141-150, 1996) for discrete time processes.

Keywords. Trend; robust inference; short, intermediate and long memory; smoothed periodogram; parameter estimation.

1 Introduction

Much of statistical inferences about unknown spectral parameters is concerned with the discrete-time stationary models, in which case it is assumed that the model is centered, or has a constant mean (see, e.g., Beran et al. [7], Dzhaparidze [12], Giraitis et al. [24], Taniguchi and Kakizawa [28], and references therein). In this paper we are concerned with the robustness of inferences, carried out on a continuous-time stationary process contaminated by a small trend, to this departure from stationarity.

Specifically, let $\{Y(t),\, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be a a zero mean stationary process with spectral density $f(\lambda,\theta)$, where $\theta := (\theta_1,\dots,\theta_p) \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ is an *unknown* vector parameter. We want to make inferences about θ in the case where the actual observed data are in the contaminated form:

$$X(t) = Y(t) + M(t), \quad 0 \le t \le T, \tag{1.1}$$

where $M(t)$ is a deterministic trend.

We assume that the trend $M(t)$ is small, that is, we consider the situation in which the major trend is removed from the model and a certain component that remains in the model has only minor effect. In these cases standard inferences can be carried on the basis of the stationary model $Y(t)$, and we are interested in question whether the conclusions are robust against this kind of departure from the stationary model.

A sufficiently developed inferential theory is now available for a continuous-time stationary model $Y(t)$. For instance, in Anh et al. [3, 4], Avram et al. [5], Casas and Gao [9], Gao [14], Gao et al. [15, 16], Leonenko and Sakhno [26] were obtained sufficient conditions ensuring consistency and asymptotic normality of various statistical estimators of θ, including quasi

*The research of M. S. Ginovyan was partially supported by National Science Foundation Grant #DMS-1309009 at Boston University.

maximum likelihood (Whittle) and minimum contrast estimators of θ, constructed on the basis of a finite realization $\mathbf{Y}_T := \{Y(t),\, 0 \le t \le T\}$ of the process $Y(t)$.

In this paper we show that under some conditions on the process $Y(t)$ and the deterministic trend $M(t)$ the above asymptotic properties of Whittle and minimum contrast estimators remains valid for the model $X(t)$, that is, the estimating procedure is relatively robust against replacing the stationary model $Y(t)$ by the non-stationary model $X(t)$ of the form (1.1).

We will be concerned with this question for models which may exhibit long memory, short memory or intermediate memory.

Throughout the paper the letters C and c are used to denote positive constants, the values of which can vary from line to line.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we describe the statistical model. Section 3 contains the approach and the main result of the paper - Theorem 3.1. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 3.1.

2 The model: long memory, short memory and intermediate memory processes

Let $\{Y(t),\, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be a centered, real-valued, continuous-time second-order stationary process with covariance function $r(t)$ $(t \in \mathbb{R})$, possessing a spectral density $f(\lambda)$ $(\lambda \in \mathbb{R})$, that is, $E[|Y(t)|^2] < \infty$, $E[Y(t)] = 0$, $r(t) = E[Y(t+u)Y(u)]$ $(u, t \in \mathbb{R})$, and $r(t)$ and $f(\lambda)$ are connected by the Fourier integral:

$$r(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\lambda t} f(\lambda) d\lambda, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.1}$$

There are several possible definitions of the notion of "memory" of a stationary process, and they are not necessarily identical (see, e.g., Beran et al. [7], Gao [14], Giraitis et al. [24], Heyde and Dai [25], Taniguchi and Kakizawa [28]). In this paper, we define the memory concept basing on the integrability property of covariance function $r(t)$, and depending on the memory structure we will distinguish the following types of stationary models: (a) short memory or short-range dependent, (b) long memory or long-range dependent, (c) intermediate memory or anti-persistent.

We will say that the process $Y(t)$ displays *short memory (SM)* or *short-range dependence (SRD)* if the covariance function $r(t)$ is integrable: $r \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} r(t)dt \neq 0$. In this case the spectral density $f(\lambda)$ is bounded away from zero and infinity at frequency $\lambda = 0$, that is, $0 < f(0) < \infty$.

A typical continuous-time short memory model example is the stationary continuous-time autoregressive moving average (CARMA) process whose spectral density is a rational function (see, e.g., Brockwell [8]).

Much of statistical inference is concerned with the short memory stationary models. However, data in many fields of science (economics, finance, hydrology, telecommunications, etc.) is well modeled by a stationary process with *unbounded* or *vanishing* at the origin spectral density (see, e.g., Beran et al. [7], Casas and Gao [9], Gao [14], Tsai and Chan [29], and references therein).

The process $Y(t)$ is said to be *anti-persistent* or exhibits *intermediate memory (IM)* if the covariance function $r(t)$ is integrable: $r \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} r(t)dt = 0$. In this case the spectral density $f(\lambda)$ vanishes at frequency zero: $f(0) = 0$.

We will say that the process $Y(t)$ displays *long memory (LM)* or *long-range dependence (LRD)* if the covariance function $r(t)$ is not integrable: $r \notin L^1(\mathbb{R})$. In this case the spectral density $f(\lambda)$ has a pole at frequency zero, that is, it is unbounded at the origin.

The memory property of a stationary process can also be characterized by the behavior of spectral density $f(\lambda)$ in the neighborhood of zero, or by the behavior of covariance function $r(t)$ at infinity (see, e.g., Beran et al. [7], Section 1.3.4).

An example of a continuous-time model that displays the above defined memory structures is the continuous-time autoregressive fractionally integrated moving-average (CARFIMA) process (see, e.g., Chambers [10], Tsai and Chan [29]).

In the continuous context, a basic process which has commonly been used to model LRD is fractional Brownian motion (fBm) $B_H(t)$ with Hurst index H. This is a Gaussian process with stationary increments and spectral density of the form

$$f(\lambda) \sim c\,|\lambda|^{1-2H}, \quad c > 0, \quad 1/2 < H < 1, \tag{2.2}$$

as $\lambda \to 0$, and covariance function:

$$r(t) \sim c\,t^{2H-2}, \ 1/2 < H < 1, \tag{2.3}$$

as $t \to \infty$, where the symbol " $\sim$ " indicates that the ratio of left- and right-hand sides tends to 1. Notice that the form (2.2) can be understood in a limiting sense, since the fBm B_H is a nonstationary process (see, e.g., Solo [27], Gao et al. [15]).

A proper stationary model in lieu of fBm is the fractional Riesz-Bessel motion (fRBm), introduced in Anh et al. [1], and then extensively discussed in a number of papers (see, e.g., Anh et al. [2], Gao et al. [15], Leonenko and Sakhno [26], and references therein). The fRBm is defined to be a continuous-time Gaussian stationary process with spectral density of the form

$$f(\lambda) = \frac{c}{|\lambda|^{2u}(1+\lambda^2)^v}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},\ 0 < c < \infty,\ 0 < u < 1/2, \ \ v > 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Observe that the spectral density (2.4) behaves as $O(|\lambda|^{-2u})$ as $|\lambda| \to 0$ and as $O(|\lambda|^{-2(u+v)})$ as $|\lambda| \to \infty$. Thus, under the conditions $0 < u < 1/2$, $v > 0$ and $u + v > 1/2$ the function $f(\lambda)$ in (2.4) is well-defined for both $|\lambda| \to 0$ and $|\lambda| \to \infty$ due to the presence of the component $(1+\lambda^2)^{-v}$, which is the Fourier transform of the Bessel potential. Note that in the spacial case $0 < u < 1/2$, $v > 1/2$ the condition $u+v > 1/2$ holds automatically. The exponent u determines the LRD, while the exponent v indicates the second-order intermittency of the fRBm (see, e.g., Anh et al. [2] and Gao et al. [15]).

Comparing (2.2) and (2.4), we observe that the spectral density of fBm is the limiting case as $v \to 0$ that of fRBm with Hurst index $H = u + 1/2$. Thus, the form (2.4) means that fRBm may exhibit both LRD and second-order intermittency.

The next result, which was proved in Ginovyan and Sahakyan [22], gives an asymptotic formula for covariance function of an fRBm: Let $f(\lambda)$ be as in (2.4) with $0 < u < 1/2$ and $v > 1/2$, and let $r(t) := \hat{f}(t)$ be the Fourier transform of $f(\lambda)$, then

$$r(t) = Ct^{2u-1}\sin(\pi\alpha)\Gamma(1-2u)\cdot(1+o(1)) \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty. \tag{2.5}$$

3 The approach and results

The basic approach in estimating unknown spectral parameters, originated by Whittle [30], is based on the smoothed periodogram analysis on a frequency domain, involving approximation of the likelihood function and asymptotic distributions of empirical spectral functionals.

The Whittle estimation procedure, originally devised for discrete-time short memory stationary processes, has played a major role in the parametric estimation in the frequency domain,

and was the focus of interest of many statisticians. Their aim was to weaken the conditions needed to guarantee the validity of the Whittle approximation for short memory models, to find analogues for long and intermediate memory models, and to show that the Whittle estimator is asymptotically equivalent to exact maximum likelihood estimator (see, e. g., Dahlhaus [11], Dzhaparidze [12], Fox and Taqqu [13], Giraitis and Surgailis [23], Giraitis et al. [24], and references therein). In particular, it was shown that for Gaussian and linear stationary models the Whittle approach leads to consistent and asymptotically normal estimators with the standard rate of convergence under short, intermediate and long memory assumptions.

Continuous versions of Whittle estimation procedure have been considered, for example, in Anh et al. [3, 4], Avram et al. [5], Casas and Gao [9], Gao [14], Gao et al. [15, 16], Leonenko and Sakhno [26].

The procedure of estimation of a parameter θ involved in the spectral density $f(\lambda, \theta)$ of the model, based on a finite realization $\mathbf{Y}_T := \{Y(t),\, 0 \le t \le T\}$ of the centered stationary process $Y(t)$, is to choose the estimator $\hat{\theta}_W$ to minimize the weighted Whittle functional:

$$U_{w,T}(\theta) := \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[\log f(\lambda, \theta) + \frac{I_{T,Y}(\lambda)}{f(\lambda, \theta)} \right] \cdot w(\lambda)\, d\lambda, \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$I_{T,Y}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi T} \left| \int_0^T e^{i\lambda t} Y(t)\, dt \right|^2 \tag{3.2}$$

is the "continuous" periodogram of $Y(t)$, and $w(\lambda)$ is an even weight function (that is, $w(-\lambda) = w(\lambda)$, $w(\lambda) \ge 0$, and $w(\lambda) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$) for which the integral in (3.1) is well defined. The choice of an appropriate weight function depends on the specific form of the spectral density (see, e.g., Anh et al. [4]). An example of common used weight function is $w(\lambda) = 1/(1 + \lambda^2)$.

Thus, the Whittle estimator $\hat{\theta}_W$ with weight function $w(\lambda)$ is defined to be a solution of the following estimating equation

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[I_{T,Y}(\lambda) - f(\lambda, \theta) \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f^{-1}(\lambda, \theta) \cdot w(\lambda) d\lambda = 0, \tag{3.3}$$

obtained by differentiating under the integral sign in (3.1).

The asymptotic properties of the Whittle estimator $\hat{\theta}_W$ then can be obtained using the standard Taylor expansion methods based on the following smoothed periodogram convergence results:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(\lambda, \theta) I_{T,Y}(\lambda) d\lambda \xrightarrow{P} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(\lambda, \theta) f(\lambda, \theta) d\lambda \quad \text{as} \quad T \to \infty, \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$T^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(\lambda, \theta) \left[I_{T,Y}(\lambda) - f(\lambda, \theta) \right] d\lambda \xrightarrow{d} \xi \sim N(0, \sigma^2) \quad \text{as} \quad T \to \infty \tag{3.5}$$

with

$$\sigma^2 = 16\pi^3 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^2(\lambda, \theta) g^2(\lambda, \theta) d\lambda, \tag{3.6}$$

where $g(\lambda, \theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f^{-1}(\lambda, \theta) w(\lambda)$, $I_{T,Y}(\lambda)$ is the periodogram of $Y(t)$ given by (3.2), $N(0, \sigma^2)$ denotes the normal law with mean zero and variance σ^2, and $\xrightarrow{d}$ and $\xrightarrow{P}$ stand for convergence in distribution and in probability, respectively.

Using this approach, statistical properties of Whittle minimum contrast estimators for continuous-time stationary processes were studied in Anh et al. [3], Avram et al. [5], Casas and Gao [9], Gao [14], Gao et al. [15, 16], Leonenko and Sakhno [26]. In particular, consistency and asymptotic normality of Whittle minimum contrast estimator $\hat{\theta}_W$ was established for some classes of stationary models, including the fractional Riesz-Bessel motion model, specified by spectral density $f(\lambda) = f(\lambda;\theta)$ given by (2.4) with $\theta = (u, v, c)$.

In our analysis we will use a general even integrable smoothing function $g(\lambda;\theta)$ rather than the specific form $g(\lambda,\theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} f^{-1}(\lambda,\theta) w(\lambda)$ which is suggested by the Whittle procedure in (3.3). The general estimator $\hat{\theta}_G$ of θ is then obtained as a solution of the estimating equation

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[I_{T,Y}(\lambda) - f(\lambda,\theta) \right] g(\lambda,\theta) d\lambda = 0. \tag{3.7}$$

Then the asymptotic properties of the estimator $\hat{\theta}_G$ can be obtained from smoothed periodogram convergence results of type (3.4) and(3.5) with general smoothing function $g(\lambda;\theta)$.

Notice that in the continuous context the basic tool for derivation of limit theorems for empirical spectral functionals of the form

$$J(g) := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(\lambda,\theta) I_{T,Y}(\lambda) d\lambda \tag{3.8}$$

is a central limit theorem for Toeplitz type quadratic functionals of stationary processes (see Ginovyan [18, 19], Ginovyan and Sahakyan [21] for Gaussian processes, and Bai et al. [5, 6] for linear processes).

It can be shown that the standard Taylor expansion methods based on the smoothed periodogram convergence results of type (3.4) and (3.5) with a general smoothing function $g(\lambda;\theta)$ and with the contaminated periodogram $I_{T,X}(\lambda)$ instead of $I_{T,Y}(\lambda)$, lead consistent and asymptotically normally distributed estimators of θ. We will not pursue this matter here (the details will be reported elsewhere), however, notice that in the special case of Whittle procedure, where $g(\lambda;\theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial} \frac{1}{f(\lambda,\theta)} \cdot w(\lambda)$ the results of Anh et al. [3], Avram et al. [5], Casas and Gao [9], Gao [14], Gao et al. [15, 16], Leonenko and Sakhno [26] concerning consistency and asymptotic normality of the Whittle minimum contrast estimators constructed on the basis of the periodogram $I_{T,Y}(\lambda)$, continue to hold without change for estimators calculated on the basis of the contaminated periodogram $I_{T,X}(\lambda)$, under appropriate assumptions imposed on the model $Y(t)$ on the smoothing function $g(\lambda,\theta)$ and on the trend $M(t)$.

In Theorem 3.1 that follows we show that a small trend of the form $|M(t)| \le C|t|^{-\beta}$ does not effect the asymptotic properties (3.4) and (3.5) of the smoothed periodogram, and hence, the asymptotic properties of the estimator $\hat{\theta}_G$, even if $I_{T,Y}(\lambda)$ is replaced by the contaminated periodogram $I_{T,X}(\lambda)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that the stationary mean zero process $\{Y(t),\, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ in (1.1) is such that the asymptotic relations (3.4) and (3.5) are satisfied with general even integrable smoothing function $g(\lambda)$ and σ^2 as in (3.6). If the trend $M(t)$ and the Fourier transform $a(t) := \widehat{g}(t)$ of smoothing function $g(\lambda)$ are such that $M(t)$ is locally integrable on $\mathbb{R}$ and*

$$|M(t)| \le C|t|^{-\beta}, \qquad |a(t)| \le C|t|^{-\gamma}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad 2\beta + \gamma > \frac{3}{2}, \tag{3.9}$$

with some constants $C > 0$, $\gamma > 0$ and $\beta > 1/4$, then

$$T^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(\lambda,\theta) \left[I_{T,X}(\lambda) - I_{T,Y}(\lambda) \right] d\lambda \xrightarrow{P} 0 \quad \text{as} \quad T \to \infty, \tag{3.10}$$

and hence the asymptotic relations (3.4) and (3.5) are satisfied with $I_{T,Y}(\lambda)$ replaced by the contaminated periodogram $I_{T,X}(\lambda)$, provided that one of the following conditions holds:

(i) the process $Y(t)$ has SM or IM, that is, the covariance function $r(t)$ of $Y(t)$ satisfies $r \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, and $\beta + \gamma > 1$,

(ii) the process $Y(t)$ has LM with covariance function $r(t)$ satisfying

$$|r(t)| \le C|t|^{-\alpha}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \alpha + \gamma \ge \frac{3}{2} \tag{3.11}$$

with some constants $C > 0$, $0 < \alpha \le 1$, and $\alpha + 2\beta > 1$ if $\beta < 1 < \gamma$.

Remark 3.1. It is easy to check that the statement of Theorem 3.1 holds, in particular, if the parameters α, β and γ satisfy the following conditions:
in the case *(i)*: $\beta > 1/2,\ \gamma \ge 1/2$,
in the case *(ii)*: $\alpha \ge 3/4,\ \beta > 3/8,\ \gamma \ge 3/4$.

Remark 3.2. The discrete version of Theorem 3.1 (with additional conditions $\gamma = 1$ in the case *(i)*, and $\gamma > 1,\ \alpha < 1/2$ in the case *(ii)*), was proved by Heyde and Dai [25] (see also Taniguchi and Kakizawa [28], Theorems 6.4.1 and 6.4.2). Using the same arguments applied in the proof of Theorem 3.1 one can prove that the complete discrete analog of Theorem 3.1 is also true.

Remark 3.3. Convergence results of type (3.4) and (3.5) holds under broad circumstances of SM, IM and LM . For detailed conditions see, for example, Avram et al. [5], Ginovyan [17] – [20], Ginovyan and Sahakyan [21], and Leonenko and Sakhno [26].

Remark 3.4. The conditions imposed on the Fourier transform of generating function $g(t)$ in (3.9) and on the covariance function $r(t)$ in (3.11) ensure central limit theorem for empirical functionals of Gaussian and linear long memory processes. This can be seen from the considerations of Theorem 5 of Ginovyan and Sahakyan [21] (for Gaussian processes), and Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1 of Bai et al. [6] (for linear processes).

4 Proof of the main result

Proof of Theorem 3.1. In view of (1.1) and (3.2) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} I_{T,X}(\lambda) - I_{T,Y}(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{2\pi T}\left(\left|\int_0^T e^{i\lambda t} X(t)\,dt\right|^2 - \left|\int_0^T e^{i\lambda t} Y(t)\,dt\right|^2\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi T}\left(\left|\int_0^T e^{i\lambda t}[Y(t)+M(t)]\,dt\right|^2 - \left|\int_0^T e^{i\lambda t} Y(t)\,dt\right|^2\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi T}\int_0^T\int_0^T e^{i\lambda(t-s)}\left[Y(t)M(s) + Y(s)M(t) + M(t)M(s)\right]\,dtds \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(\lambda,\theta)\left[I_{T,X}(\lambda) - I_{T,Y}(\lambda)\right]d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T\int_0^T \left[Y(t)M(s) + Y(s)M(t) + M(t)M(s)\right] a(t-s)\,dtds. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Thus, to complete the proof it is enough to prove that under the conditions of the theorem we have

$$T^{-1/2}\int_0^T\int_0^T M(t)M(s)a(t-s)\,dtds\to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad T\to\infty \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$T^{-1/2}\int_0^T\int_0^T Y(t)M(s)a(t-s)\,dtds \xrightarrow{P} 0 \quad \text{as} \quad T\to\infty. \tag{4.3}$$

Proof of (4.2). For $T>2$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} I(T) &:= \int_0^T\int_0^T |M(t)M(s)a(t-s)|\,dtds \qquad (4.4)\\ &= \int_0^1\int_0^2+\int_0^1\int_2^T+\int_1^T\int_0^{1/2}+\int_1^T\int_{1/2}^T =: I_1(T)+I_2(T)+I_3(T)+I_4(T), \end{aligned}$$

and estimate the integrals $I_i(T)$, $i=1,2,3,4$, separately.

Observe first that the Fourier transform $a(t):=\widehat{g}(t)$ is a bounded function on $\mathbb{R}$, since g is integrable on $\mathbb{R}$. Hence, taking into account that by assumption the trend $M(t)$ is locally integrable on $\mathbb{R}$, for $I_1(T)$ we obtain the estimate

$$I_1(T)\le C\|a\|_\infty\int_0^1|M(s)|\,ds\int_0^2|M(t)|\,dt\le C<\infty, \qquad T>2. \tag{4.5}$$

Next, in view of (3.9), for $0<s<1$ and $t>2$ we have $|a(t-s)|\le C(t-s)^{-\gamma}\le Ct^{-\gamma}$, and hence, taking into account that $\beta+\gamma>1$, $I_2(T)$ can be estimated as follows

$$I_2(T) \le C\int_0^1|M(s)|\,ds\int_2^T\frac{1}{t^{\beta+\gamma}}\,dt\le C<\infty, \qquad T>2. \tag{4.6}$$

Similarly, for $I_3(T)$ we have

$$I_3(T)\le C<\infty, \qquad T>2. \tag{4.7}$$

To estimate $I_4(T)$ observe first that, in view of (3.9), for $1<s<T$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} h(s) &:= \int_{1/2}^T |M(t)a(t-s)|\,dt\\ &\le C\left[\int_{(s-1)}^{s+1}\frac{|a(t-s)|}{t^\beta}dt+\int_{s+1}^{2s}\frac{1}{t^\beta(t-s)^\gamma}dt\right.\\ &\qquad\left.+\int_{2s}^T\frac{1}{t^\beta(t-s)^\gamma}dt+\int_{1/2}^{s/2}\frac{1}{t^\beta(s-t)^\gamma}dt+\int_{s/2}^{s-1}\frac{1}{t^\beta(s-t)^\gamma}dt\right]\\ &\le C\left[\|a\|_\infty\cdot s^{-\beta}+s^{-\beta}\int_1^s\frac{1}{\tau^\gamma}d\tau+\int_{2s}^T\frac{1}{t^{\beta+\gamma}}dt+s^{-\gamma}\int_{1/2}^{s/2}\frac{1}{t^\beta}dt+s^{-\beta}\int_1^{s/2}\frac{1}{\tau^\gamma}d\tau\right]\\ &\le C\left[s^{-\beta}+L(\gamma,T)s^{1-\beta-\gamma}+L(\beta+\gamma,T)\left(T^{1-\beta-\gamma}+s^{1-\beta-\gamma}\right)\right.\\ &\qquad\left.+L(\beta,T)\left(s^{1-\beta-\gamma}+s^{-\gamma}\right)+L(\gamma,T)s^{1-\beta-\gamma}\right]\\ &\le C\log T\cdot\left(T^{1-\beta-\gamma}+s^{1-\beta-\gamma}+s^{-\beta}+s^{-\gamma}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

where the function $L(u,T)$ is defined by

$$L(u,T)=\begin{cases}\log T & \text{if} \quad u=1,\\ 1 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Taking into account that $\beta+\gamma>1$, from (4.8) we get

$$h(s)\le C\log T\cdot\left(s^{1-\beta-\gamma}+s^{-\beta}+s^{-\gamma}\right),\qquad 1<s<T, \tag{4.9}$$

and hence for $T>2$, $I_4(T)$ can be estimated as follows

$$\begin{aligned} I_4(T) &= \int_1^T |M(s)h(s)|\,ds\\ &\le C\log T\left[\int_1^T\frac{1}{s^{2\beta+\gamma-1}}\,ds+\int_1^T\frac{1}{s^{2\beta}}\,ds+\int_1^T\frac{1}{s^{\beta+\gamma}}\,ds\right]\\ &\le C\log T\left[1+L(2\beta+\gamma-1,T)\,T^{2-2\beta-\gamma}+L(2\beta,T)\,T^{1-2\beta}+T^{1-\beta-\gamma}\right]\\ &\le C\log^2 T\left(1+T^{2-2\beta-\gamma}+T^{1-2\beta}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Finally, taking into account that by assumption $2\beta+\gamma>3/2$ and $\beta>1/4$, from (4.4)-(4.7) and (4.10) we obtain

$$T^{-1/2}\cdot I(T)\le C\log^2 T\left(T^{-1/2}+T^{3/2-2\beta-\gamma}+T^{1/2-2\beta}\right)\to 0\quad\text{as}\quad T\to\infty,$$

which implies (4.2).

Proof of (4.3). Observe first that the inequality

$$\beta+\gamma>1 \tag{4.11}$$

holds also in the case *(ii)*, since by (3.9) and (3.11), we have $2\beta+2\gamma\ge 2\beta+\gamma+3/2-\alpha>3/2+1/2=2$.

Denote

$$\nu(s)=\nu(T,s):=\int_0^T M(t)a(t-s)\,dt,\quad 0<s<T,$$

and observe that

$$\int_0^{1/2}|M(t)a(t-s)|\,dt\le C\int_0^{1/2}|M(t)|\frac{1}{(s-1/2)^{\gamma}}\,dt\le C\cdot s^{-\gamma},\qquad 1<s<T,$$

and by (4.9),

$$|\nu(s)|\le C\log T\cdot\left(s^{1-\beta-\gamma}+s^{-\beta}+s^{-\gamma}\right),\qquad 1<s<T. \tag{4.12}$$

On the other hand, by (3.9), for $T>2$ and $0<s<1$ we have

$$|\nu(s)|\le C\left[\|a\|_\infty\int_0^2|M(t)|\,dt+\int_2^T\frac{1}{t^{\beta}(t-1)^{\gamma}}dt\right]\le C\log T. \tag{4.13}$$

Observe that from (3.9) and (4.12) it follows that (4.13) holds for $0<s<T$.

Now, we denote

$$Q(T) := T^{-1/2} \int_0^T \int_0^T Y(s)M(t)a(t-s)\,dtds = T^{-1/2} \int_0^T Y(s)\nu(s)ds,$$

and observe that

$$\begin{aligned} E\{Q^2(T)\} &= T^{-1} \int_0^T \int_0^T E\{Y(s)Y(\tau)\}\nu(s)\nu(\tau)dsd\tau \\ &= T^{-1} \int_0^T \int_0^T \nu(s)\nu(\tau)r(s-\tau)dsd\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, to prove (4.3) it is enough show that

$$J(T) := \int_0^T \int_0^T |\nu(s)\nu(\tau)r(s-\tau)|\,dsd\tau = o(T) \quad \text{as} \quad T \to \infty. \tag{4.14}$$

In the case *(i)*, when the process $Y(t)$ has SM or IM, and hence $r \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, from (4.13) for $T > 2$ we get

$$|J(T)| \le C \log T \int_0^T |\nu(s)| \int_0^T |r(s-\tau)|\,d\tau ds \le C \log T \int_0^T |\nu(s)|\,ds. \tag{4.15}$$

In view of (4.12), the last integral in (4.15) can be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T |\nu(s)|\,ds &\le C \log^2 T \left[\int_0^1 ds + \int_1^T \left(s^{1-\beta-\gamma} + s^{-\beta} + s^{-\gamma} \right) ds \right] \\ &\le C \log^2 T \left[1 + L(\beta+\gamma-1,T)\, T^{2-\beta-\gamma} + L(\beta,T)\, T^{1-\beta} + L(\gamma,T)\, T^{1-\gamma} \right] \\ &\le C \log^3 T \left(1 + T^{1-\beta} + T^{1-\gamma} + T^{2-\beta-\gamma} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Hence, taking into account that $\beta + \gamma > 1$, from (4.15) and (4.16) we obtain

$$J(T) = o(T) \quad \text{as} \quad T \to \infty.$$

In the case *(ii)*, when the process $Y(t)$ has LM, using (3.11), (4.11), (4.12) and (4.13), for $1 < \tau < T$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} q(\tau) &:= \int_0^T |\nu(s)r(s-\tau)|ds \le C \log T \int_0^{1/2} \left(\tau - \frac{1}{2} \right)^{-\alpha} ds \\ &+ C \log T \left[\int_{1/2}^T \frac{|r(s-\tau)|}{s^{\beta+\gamma-1}} ds + \int_{1/2}^T \frac{|r(s-\tau)|}{s^{\beta}} ds + \int_{1/2}^T \frac{|r(s-\tau)|}{s^{\gamma}} ds \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

Taking into account that r is bounded ($|r(t)| \le r(0) = E|Y(t)|^2 < \infty,\ t \in \mathbb{R}$), and using similar arguments as in (4.8), from (3.11) we obtain that for any $\eta > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{1/2}^T \frac{|r(t-\tau)|}{t^\eta}\,dt &\le C \log T \left(T^{1-\alpha-\eta} + \tau^{1-\alpha-\eta} + \tau^{-\alpha} + \tau^{-\eta} \right) \\ &\le C \log T \left(1 + T^{1-\alpha-\eta} \right), \qquad 1 < \tau < T. \end{aligned}$$

Applying this inequality for $\eta = \beta + \gamma - 1$, $\eta = \beta$ and $\eta = \gamma$, from (4.17) we obtain

$$q(\tau) \le C \log^2 T \left(1 + T^{2-\alpha-\beta-\gamma} + T^{1-\alpha-\beta}\right), \qquad 1 < \tau < T, \tag{4.18}$$

since $\alpha + \gamma > 1$. On the other hand, by (4.12) and (4.13) for $T > 2$ and $0 < \tau < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q(\tau) &\le C \left[\log T \int_0^2 |r(s-\tau)|\, ds + \int_2^T \frac{|\nu(s)|}{(s-1)^\alpha} ds\right] \qquad (4.19)\\ &\le C \log T \left(1 + T^{2-\alpha-\beta-\gamma} + T^{1-\alpha-\beta}\right) \le C \log T \left(1 + T^{1-\beta}\right), \qquad 0 < \tau < 1, \end{aligned}$$

since $\alpha + \gamma > 1$ and $\alpha > 0$.

Next, we denote

$$J(T) = \int_0^T |\nu(\tau)|\, q(\tau)\, d\tau = \int_0^1 + \int_1^T =: J_1(T) + J_2(T), \tag{4.20}$$

and estimate $J_1(T)$ and $J_2(T)$. By (4.13) and (4.19), for $J_1(T)$ we have

$$J_1(T) \le C \log^2 T \left(1 + T^{1-\beta}\right) = o(T) \quad \text{as} \quad T \to \infty, \tag{4.21}$$

since $\beta > 0$.

To estimate $J_2(T)$ we consider three cases, and use conditions (3.9), (3.11), (4.11) and inequalities (4.12), (4.18).

Case 1. If $\beta \ge 1$, then we have

$$|\nu(\tau)| \le C \log T \left(\tau^{-\beta} + \tau^{-\gamma}\right), \quad q(\tau) \le C \log^2 T, \qquad 1 < \tau < T,$$

and hence

$$J_2(T) \le C \log^3 T \left(1 + T^{1-\beta} + T^{1-\gamma}\right) = o(T) \quad \text{as} \quad T \to \infty. \tag{4.22}$$

Case 2. If $\beta < 1 < \gamma$, then we have

$$|\nu(\tau)| \le C \log T \cdot \tau^{-\beta}, \qquad q(\tau) \le C \log^2 T \left(1 + T^{1-\alpha-\beta}\right) \qquad 1 < \tau < T,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} J_2(T) &\le C \log^3 T \left(1 + T^{1-\beta}\right) \left(1 + T^{1-\alpha-\beta}\right)\\ &\le C \log^3 T \left(1 + T^{1-\alpha-\beta} + T^{1-\beta} + T^{2-\alpha-2\beta}\right) = o(T) \quad \text{as} \quad T \to \infty \end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

since in this case by assumption $\alpha + 2\beta > 1$.

Case 3. If $\beta < 1$ and $\gamma \le 1$, then we have

$$|\nu(\tau)| \le C \log T \cdot \tau^{1-\beta-\gamma}, \qquad q(\tau) \le C \log^2 T \left(1 + T^{2-\alpha-\beta-\gamma}\right), \qquad 1 < \tau < T,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} J_2(T) &\le C \log^3 T \left(1 + T^{2-\beta-\gamma}\right) \left(1 + T^{2-\alpha-\beta-\gamma}\right) \qquad (4.24)\\ &\le C \log^3 T \left(1 + T^{2-\alpha-\beta-\gamma} + T^{2-\beta-\gamma} + T^{4-\alpha-2\beta-2\gamma}\right) = o(T) \quad \text{as} \quad T \to \infty, \end{aligned}$$

since $\beta + \gamma > 1$ and $\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma = (2\beta + \gamma) + (\alpha + \gamma) > 3$.

From (4.20)–(4.24) we obtain

$$J(T) = o(T) \quad \text{as} \quad T \to \infty.$$

Thus, the relation (4.14) and hence (4.3) are proved.

Theorem 3.1 is proved. □

References

[1] Anh, V.V., Angulo, J.M., Ruiz-Medina, M.D.: Possible long-range dependence in fractional random fields. J. of Stat. Plan. and Inference, **80**, 95-110 (1999).

[2] Anh, V.V., Leonenko, N.N., McVinish, R.: Models for fractional Riesz-Bessel motion and related processes. Fractals, **9**, 329-346 (2001).

[3] Anh V., Leonenko N., Sakhno L. On a class of minimum contrast estimators for fractional stochastic processes and fields. J. of Stat. Plan. and Inf. 123, 161-185 (2004).

[4] Anh V., Leonenko N., Sakhno L. Minimum contrast estimation of random processes based on information of second and third orders. J. of Stat. Plan. and Inf. 137, 1302 – 1331 (2007).

[5] Avram, F. and Leonenko, N. and Sakhno, L. On a Szegö type limit theorem, the Hölder-Young-Brascamp-Lieb inequality, and the asymptotic theory of integrals and quadratic forms of stationary fields. ESAIM: Probability and Statistics, 14, 210–255, (2010).

[6] Bai, S., Ginovyan M.S. and Taqqu, M. S. Limit theorems for quadratic forms of Levy-driven continuous-time linear processes. Stochastic Processes and Applications. Accepted.

[7] Beran, J., Feng, Y., Ghosh, S. and Kulik, R.: Long-Memory Processes Probabilistic Properties and Statistical Methods. Springer, New York, 2013.

[8] Brockwell, P.J.: Recent results in the theory and applications of CARMA processes. Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics, **66**(4), 647-685 (2014).

[9] Casas, I., Gao, J. Econometric estimation in long-range dependent volatility models: Theory and practice. Journal of Econometrics, 147, 72-83 (2008).

[10] Chambers M. J. The Estimation of Continuous Parameter Long-Memory Time Series Models. Econometric Theory, Vol. 12 (2), 374-390 (1996).

[11] Dahlhaus R. Efficient parameter estimation for self-similar processes, Ann. Statist., 17, 1749-1766 (1989).

[12] Dzhaparidze K. Parameter Estimation and Hypothesis Testing in Spectral Analysis of Stationary Time Series, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.

[13] Fox R., Taqqu M. Large-sample properties of parameter estimation for strongly dependent stationary Gaussian time series, Ann. Statist., 14, 517-532 (1986).

[14] Gao J. Modelling long-range dependent Gaussian processes with application in continuous-time financial models. Journal of Applied Probability, 41, 467-482 (2004).

[15] Gao J., Anh V., Heyde C., Tieng Q. Parameter Estimation of Stochastic Processes with Long-range Dependence and Intermittency. J. of Time Series Anal. **22**, 517-535 (2001).

[16] Gao J., Anh V., Heyde C. Statistical estimation of nonstationary Gaussian process with long-range dependence and intermittency. Stochastic Process. Appl. **99**, 295321 (2002).

[17] Ginovyan, M. S.: On estimating the value of a linear functional of the spectral density of a Gaussian stationary process, Theory Probab. Appl. **33**(4), 722-726 (1988).

[18] Ginovyan M. S. On Toeplitz type quadratic functionals in Gaussian stationary process, Probab. Th. Rel. Fields, 100, 395-406 (1994).

[19] Ginovyan M. S. Asymptotic properties of spectrum estimate of stationary Gaussian processes, Journal of Contemporary Math. Anal., 30(1), 1-16 (1995).

[20] Ginovyan M. S. Efficient Estimation of Spectral Functionals for Continuous-time Stationary Models". Acta Appl. Math. 115 (2), 233-254 (2011).

[21] Ginovyan M. S., Sahakyan A. A.: Limit Theorems for Toeplitz quadratic functionals of continuous-time stationary process. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields **138**, 551-579 (2007).

[22] Ginovyan M. S., Sahakyan A. A.: Trace Approximations of Products of Truncated Toeplitz Operators. Theory Probab. and Appl. 56 (1), 57-71 (2012).

[23] Giraitis L., Surgailis D. A central limit theorem for quadratic forms in strongly dependent linear variables and its application to asymptotical normality of Whittle's estimate, Probab. Th. Rel. Fields, 86, 87-104 (1990).

[24] Giraitis, L, Koul, H.L. and Surgailis, D.: *Large Sample Inference for Long Memory Processes*. World Scientific Publishing Company Incorporated, 2012.

[25] Heyde, C. Dai, W. On the robustness to small trends of estimation based on the smoothed periodogram. Journal of Time Series Analysis, 17 (2), 141-150 (1996).

[26] Leonenko N. and Sakhno L. On the Whittle estimators for some classes of continuous-parameter random processes and fields, Stat & Probab. Letters, 76, 781-795 (2006).

[27] V. Solo, Intrinsic random functions and the paradox of $1/f$ noise. SIAM J. Appl. Math., 52 (1992), 270291.

[28] Taniguchi, M. and Kakizawa, Y.: Asymptotic Theory of Statistical Inference for Time Series, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.

[29] Tsai H. and Chan K. S. Quasi-maximum likelihood estimation for a class of continuous-time long memory processes. Journal of Time Series Analysis, 26 (5), 691-713 (2005).

[30] Whittle, P. Hypothesis Testing in Time Series. Hafner, New York, 1951.